

# Promoting Social Equity and Environmental Sustainability in Less Affluent Neighborhoods through Green Infrastructure

Anna Gordon

Botfed research society

Dmitri Petrovich Volkov

St. Cyril and St. Methodius University of Veliko Tarnovo

## Abstract

Green infrastructure, encompassing natural and semi-natural spaces and systems, offers ecological and social benefits within urban areas. In the context of low-income communities, the social and environmental aspects of implementing green infrastructure assume significant importance. This research examines key terms related to green infrastructure in low-income communities, including social equity, ecosystem services, urban heat island effect, stormwater management, health and well-being, environmental justice, and community engagement. Social equity is essential for implementing green infrastructure in low-income communities, ensuring fair access to benefits and opportunities. Affordability, accessibility, and community engagement should be considered to incorporate residents' voices. Ecosystem services provided by green infrastructure are crucial in low-income communities with limited access to traditional infrastructure. These services include stormwater management, air purification, and temperature regulation, helping mitigate environmental hazards. The urban heat island effect is a challenge in low-income communities due to extensive impervious surfaces. Green infrastructure interventions like parks,

urban forests, and green roofs provide shade and cooling effects to counteract this issue. Stormwater management is a significant concern in low-income communities with inadequate infrastructure. Green infrastructure elements such as rain gardens, permeable pavements, and bioswales absorb and filter stormwater runoff, reducing flooding and water pollution risks. Green infrastructure improves the physical and mental well-being of low-income residents. Access to green spaces positively impacts stress reduction, physical activity, air quality, and community cohesion, enhancing overall health and quality of life. Implementing green infrastructure addresses environmental justice by ensuring equal access to green spaces and benefits, addressing historical inequities and reducing exposure to environmental risks in these communities. Community engagement is crucial for the success and sustainability of green infrastructure projects in low-income communities. Involving residents in planning, design, and implementation fosters ownership and meets community needs. Community input contributes to long-term success.

**Keywords:** Green infrastructure, Low-income communities, Social equity, Ecosystem services, Community engagement

## Introduction

Green infrastructure refers to a network of natural and semi-natural features that provide multiple ecological and societal benefits within an urban or rural environment. It comprises a variety of elements, including parks, forests, wetlands, green roofs, green walls, permeable pavements, rain gardens, and urban agriculture [1], [2]. These components work together to enhance the resilience of communities, mitigate the effects of climate change, and improve overall quality of life [3].

The first key component of green infrastructure is vegetation, which includes trees, shrubs, and other plantings. Vegetation plays a crucial role in regulating temperature by providing shade and reducing the urban heat island effect. It helps improve air quality by absorbing carbon dioxide and other pollutants while releasing oxygen. Additionally, green infrastructure vegetation helps manage stormwater runoff by absorbing and filtering rainwater, reducing the risk of flooding and improving water quality [4].

Another important element of green infrastructure is water management. Green infrastructure features such as rain gardens, bioswales, and permeable pavements help capture and retain stormwater, allowing it to infiltrate into the ground instead of overwhelming drainage systems [5]. By mimicking natural hydrological processes, these features help recharge groundwater, prevent erosion, and maintain healthy aquatic ecosystems. Moreover, they reduce the strain on

traditional infrastructure systems, such as sewage treatment plants, leading to cost savings and increased resilience [6].

Green infrastructure incorporates social components that contribute to community well-being. Parks and green spaces provide recreational opportunities, promoting physical and mental health. Accessible green areas also foster social cohesion, providing spaces for community gatherings, cultural events, and leisure activities. Urban agriculture, another facet of green infrastructure, enables local food production, enhances food security, and promotes sustainable consumption patterns. These social aspects of green infrastructure contribute to community resilience [7], social equity, and overall quality of life for residents. Green infrastructure encompasses a range of natural and engineered features designed to provide ecological and societal benefits. Its components include vegetation, water management systems, and social elements. By incorporating green spaces, managing stormwater, and promoting community well-being, green infrastructure enhances urban resilience, mitigates climate change impacts, and creates healthier and more livable environments for people and nature [8].

One of the key reasons why green infrastructure is important is its ability to mitigate and adapt to climate change. Green spaces act as carbon sinks, absorbing and storing atmospheric carbon dioxide, which helps reduce greenhouse gas emissions

and combat global warming. Another significant aspect of green infrastructure is its role in managing stormwater. Natural green features, such as vegetated swales, permeable pavements, and green roofs, help to absorb and filter rainwater, reducing the burden on traditional stormwater management systems [9]. By reducing runoff and promoting groundwater recharge, green infrastructure helps prevent urban flooding and protects water quality in rivers, lakes, and other water bodies [10]. Green infrastructure also plays a crucial role in enhancing urban biodiversity and promoting ecological sustainability. It provides habitats for a variety of plant and animal species, helping to maintain healthy ecosystems and support biodiversity conservation efforts [11]. By preserving and creating green spaces within urban areas, cities can create wildlife corridors and promote the well-being of native flora and fauna. In addition to environmental benefits, green infrastructure offers numerous social advantages. Access to green spaces has been linked to improved mental and physical health outcomes. Parks and natural areas provide opportunities for physical activity, stress reduction, and relaxation, which contribute to overall well-being [12]. Green infrastructure also enhances the aesthetics of urban areas, creating more pleasant and livable environments for residents [13].

From an economic perspective, green infrastructure can generate substantial economic value and contribute to local economies. Parks and recreational spaces attract visitors, boost tourism,

and stimulate local businesses. Green roofs and walls can improve energy efficiency in buildings, reducing energy consumption and costs. Studies have shown that proximity to green spaces can increase property values and attract investment, leading to economic growth and revitalization of neighborhoods [14].

Green infrastructure also plays a crucial role in improving air quality in urban areas. Vegetation helps to filter and absorb air pollutants, such as nitrogen dioxide and particulate matter, thereby reducing the negative impacts of air pollution on human health. By mitigating air pollution, green infrastructure contributes to the prevention of respiratory diseases and improves overall air quality, creating healthier living environments.

Green infrastructure promotes sustainable urban development and resilience. It offers opportunities for sustainable land use planning, promoting compact and walkable cities with a reduced ecological footprint. By integrating green spaces into urban planning, cities can create more resilient and adaptable communities, better equipped to withstand climate-related challenges such as heatwaves, extreme weather events, and urban heat island effects [15].

Green infrastructure is of paramount importance due to its ability to combat climate change, manage stormwater, support biodiversity, enhance social well-being, stimulate economic growth, improve air quality, and foster sustainable urban development. Recognizing the value of green

infrastructure and incorporating it into urban planning and development strategies is crucial for creating healthier, more sustainable, and resilient cities.

**Social and Environmental Aspects**

**Social equity**

Green infrastructure projects in low-income communities play a crucial role in addressing environmental and social disparities [16]. However, it is essential that these projects prioritize social equity to ensure that all residents have fair and equal access to the benefits and opportunities they provide. This requires a multifaceted approach that considers affordability, accessibility, and community engagement throughout the planning and implementation process.

Firstly, affordability is a key factor in promoting social equity in green infrastructure projects. Low-income communities often face financial constraints, and the cost of implementing and maintaining green infrastructure should be affordable for residents. This can be achieved by incorporating cost-effective design and construction methods, utilizing local resources and materials, and exploring financing options that reduce the burden on residents. By prioritizing affordability, green infrastructure projects can prevent the displacement of low-income residents and promote long-term sustainability [17].

Secondly, accessibility is crucial in ensuring that all residents can benefit from green infrastructure projects. Low-income communities may face

barriers to accessing these systems due to physical, logistical, or socioeconomic factors [18]. To address this, projects should be designed with a focus on improving accessibility for everyone, including people with disabilities, the elderly, and those with limited mobility. This can involve incorporating features like ramps, elevators, and adequate signage, as well as ensuring that green infrastructure is located in areas easily reachable by public transportation.

Thirdly, community engagement is essential for fostering social equity in green infrastructure projects. Meaningful participation of community members throughout the planning and implementation process helps ensure that their voices are heard, their needs are addressed, and their knowledge is utilized. This can involve conducting community meetings, workshops, and surveys to gather input, incorporating traditional knowledge and practices into project design, and providing opportunities for community members to actively participate in the project's maintenance and management. Community engagement builds trust, empowers residents, and creates a sense of ownership, leading to more equitable outcomes [19].

Moreover, education and capacity building are integral to promoting social equity in green infrastructure projects. Many low-income communities may lack awareness and understanding of the benefits and opportunities offered by green infrastructure. By providing education and training programs, residents can

develop the necessary skills and knowledge to participate in and benefit from these projects. This can include workshops on sustainable practices, vocational training for green jobs, and educational campaigns to raise awareness about the importance of green infrastructure for the community's well-being [20].

Furthermore, partnerships and collaborations are vital for achieving social equity in green infrastructure projects. Engaging with local organizations, nonprofits, government agencies, and other stakeholders can enhance the project's impact and ensure that diverse perspectives are considered. Collaboration can result in leveraging additional resources, sharing expertise, and building networks for ongoing support and maintenance of green infrastructure. By fostering partnerships, green infrastructure projects can become catalysts for broader community development and social change [21] [22].

Additionally, monitoring and evaluation play a crucial role in ensuring social equity in green infrastructure projects. Regular assessment of the project's outcomes and impacts can help identify any disparities in access or benefits and inform necessary adjustments. This can involve collecting data on the demographic profile of project beneficiaries, tracking changes in accessibility and affordability indicators, and conducting community surveys to gauge satisfaction and identify areas for improvement. Monitoring and evaluation provide the

necessary feedback loop to continuously improve the equity outcomes of green infrastructure initiatives [23] low income.

Policy and governance frameworks need to prioritize social equity in green infrastructure projects. Government agencies and policymakers should establish guidelines and regulations that explicitly address equity considerations and ensure that funding and resources are allocated in a manner that supports equitable outcomes. By incorporating social equity goals into policy frameworks, green infrastructure projects can be better aligned with the needs and aspirations of low-income communities, helping to address historical inequalities and foster a more sustainable and inclusive future.

Green infrastructure projects in low-income communities must prioritize social equity by considering affordability, accessibility, and community engagement [24]. By incorporating these principles into the planning and implementation process, these projects can help address environmental and social disparities, improve the quality of life for residents, and create more sustainable and resilient communities. Social equity should be at the forefront of green infrastructure initiatives to ensure that the benefits and opportunities provided by these systems are accessible to all [25].

### **Ecosystem services**

Green infrastructure provides numerous ecosystem services, such as stormwater management, air purification, and temperature



regulation. These services are particularly valuable in low-income communities, where access to traditional infrastructure may be limited, and exposure to environmental hazards is often higher.

### Urban heat island effect

Low-income communities frequently bear the brunt of the urban heat island effect, a phenomenon in which areas with high concentrations of impervious surfaces and limited vegetation experience significantly higher temperatures compared to their surroundings [26]. However, green infrastructure interventions offer an effective means to mitigate this effect by creating parks, urban forests, and green roofs that provide shade and evaporative cooling [27].

The creation of parks in low-income communities is a key green infrastructure intervention to combat the urban heat island effect. Parks offer residents a much-needed respite from the heat, providing shaded areas and green spaces where they can relax and engage in recreational activities. By incorporating a variety of trees, shrubs, and plants, parks contribute to cooling the surrounding environment through shading and evapotranspiration. These green spaces act as natural air conditioners, lowering temperatures and enhancing the overall livability of the community [28].

Urban forests also play a crucial role in mitigating the urban heat island effect in low-income communities. By strategically planting and nurturing a diverse array of trees throughout these neighborhoods, the cooling benefits can be maximized. Trees provide

extensive shade and transpire moisture, which helps cool the surrounding air through evapotranspiration. Urban forests not only reduce local temperatures but also improve air quality, enhance biodiversity, and create a more visually appealing and pleasant environment for residents [29].

Green roofs offer another effective green infrastructure solution to combat the urban heat island effect. By installing vegetation and vegetation-supporting systems on rooftops, the impact of impervious surfaces can be minimized. Green roofs provide an additional layer of insulation, reducing heat transfer into buildings and lowering the need for air conditioning. They also absorb rainfall, reducing stormwater runoff and the burden on local drainage systems. Green roofs contribute to the overall cooling of the community by absorbing solar radiation and releasing it through evapotranspiration, thereby mitigating the urban heat island effect.

In low-income communities, the implementation of green infrastructure interventions should prioritize areas with the greatest need for heat mitigation. By targeting neighborhoods with high population densities, limited access to green spaces, and a prevalence of impervious surfaces, the impact of these interventions can be maximized. This targeted approach ensures that the most vulnerable residents, who often have limited means to adapt to extreme heat, benefit from the cooling effects of green infrastructure [30].

Moreover, community engagement is vital in the planning and implementation of green infrastructure interventions. In low-income communities, involving residents in decision-making processes ensures that the specific needs and preferences of the community are taken into account [31]. Engaging community members fosters a sense of ownership and empowerment, creating spaces that are designed with their input and aligning the green infrastructure interventions with their priorities.

Green infrastructure interventions, such as parks, urban forests, and green roofs, offer effective solutions to mitigate the urban heat island effect in low-income communities. By providing shade, evaporative cooling, and overall temperature reduction, these interventions enhance the livability and well-being of residents, while also promoting environmental sustainability. The implementation of green infrastructure should be accompanied by community engagement to ensure that the interventions address the unique needs of the community and foster a sense of ownership and pride in their surroundings.

### **Stormwater management**

Low-income communities frequently encounter difficulties in managing stormwater due to insufficient infrastructure. However, the implementation of green infrastructure elements, such as rain gardens, permeable pavements, and bioswales, can address these challenges effectively. These green infrastructure interventions help absorb and filter

stormwater runoff, lessening the strain on conventional drainage systems and mitigating the risks of flooding and water pollution [32].

Rain gardens are an essential green infrastructure element that can assist in stormwater management in low-income communities. These gardens are designed to capture and retain stormwater, allowing it to slowly infiltrate into the soil. The vegetation in rain gardens helps absorb water and filter out pollutants, reducing the volume of runoff and improving water quality. By strategically locating rain gardens in areas prone to flooding or with limited green space, the capacity to manage stormwater can be significantly enhanced [33].

Permeable pavements are another valuable green infrastructure solution for stormwater management in low-income communities. Traditional impermeable pavements, such as concrete or asphalt, prevent water from infiltrating into the ground, leading to increased runoff. Permeable pavements, on the other hand, are designed to allow water to pass through, either through porous materials or by incorporating gaps between pavers. This enables stormwater to be absorbed into the ground, reducing runoff and the strain on drainage systems.

Bioswales are engineered landscape features that effectively manage stormwater runoff while providing ecological benefits. These shallow, vegetated channels are designed to collect and slow down stormwater flow, allowing for natural filtration and absorption. The vegetation in

bioswales helps to remove pollutants and sediment from the runoff, preventing them from entering water bodies and causing water pollution. By incorporating bioswales in low-income communities, the risks of flooding and waterborne diseases can be significantly reduced [34].

The implementation of green infrastructure elements for stormwater management in low-income communities offers multiple benefits beyond flood prevention. These interventions enhance water quality by filtering pollutants and sediments, improving the overall health of local water bodies. They also replenish groundwater resources by allowing water to infiltrate into the soil and recharge aquifers, promoting long-term water sustainability. Moreover, green infrastructure elements contribute to the aesthetic appeal of the community, creating visually appealing landscapes and green spaces.

To ensure the successful integration of green infrastructure for stormwater management, community engagement is crucial. Involving residents in the planning and implementation process allows for the identification of areas most in need of stormwater management solutions. It also promotes education and awareness, helping community members understand the benefits and maintenance requirements of green infrastructure elements. Community engagement fosters a sense of ownership and responsibility, leading to long-term sustainability and effective maintenance of these

stormwater management interventions [35].

Furthermore, partnerships with local organizations, government agencies, and other stakeholders are instrumental in implementing green infrastructure projects in low-income communities. Collaborating with these entities can provide access to additional resources, expertise, and funding opportunities. Partnerships also help ensure that green infrastructure projects align with local priorities and are integrated into broader urban planning and development initiatives.

Green infrastructure elements, such as rain gardens, permeable pavements, and bioswales, offer effective solutions for stormwater management in low-income communities. By absorbing and filtering stormwater runoff, these interventions alleviate the strain on conventional drainage systems, reduce the risk of flooding, and prevent water pollution. Community engagement and partnerships are essential for the successful implementation and long-term sustainability of green infrastructure projects, ensuring that these interventions address the specific needs and challenges of low-income communities [36].

### **Health and well-being**

Green infrastructure holds significant potential for enhancing the physical and mental well-being of residents in low-income communities [37]. The availability and accessibility of green spaces and nature have been closely associated with a range of benefits, including stress reduction, increased



physical activity, improved air quality, and enhanced community cohesion. These advantages can collectively contribute to improved overall health and a higher quality of life for community members [38].

Access to green spaces and nature has been shown to have a positive impact on stress reduction. Low-income communities often face higher levels of stress due to various socio-economic factors. However, exposure to greenery and natural environments has been proven to lower stress levels and promote relaxation. The presence of green spaces offers a refuge from the hustle and bustle of urban life, providing residents with opportunities for leisure activities, contemplation, and reconnecting with nature. This can help alleviate stress, improve mental well-being, and enhance the overall resilience of individuals in low-income communities.

In addition, green infrastructure promotes increased physical activity among residents. Lack of access to safe and inviting places for physical exercise is a common challenge in low-income communities. By providing green spaces that are conducive to outdoor activities, such as parks, trails, and sports fields, green infrastructure encourages and facilitates physical activity [39]. Engaging in regular exercise has numerous health benefits, including reduced risk of chronic diseases, improved cardiovascular health, and enhanced mental well-being. By incorporating green spaces into low-income communities, opportunities for physical activity are expanded, leading

to healthier lifestyles for residents [40].

Furthermore, green infrastructure positively impacts air quality in low-income communities. These areas often experience higher levels of air pollution due to factors such as industrial activities, traffic congestion, and limited green spaces. The presence of vegetation, including trees, shrubs, and plants, helps to filter and absorb pollutants from the air, improving air quality and reducing the risk of respiratory diseases. Green infrastructure interventions, such as urban forests and green walls, can effectively mitigate the negative effects of air pollution, providing cleaner and healthier environments for residents.

Another benefit of green infrastructure is its capacity to foster community cohesion and social interactions. Low-income communities may face social isolation and limited opportunities for social engagement. However, the presence of green spaces creates gathering places that encourage community members to come together, interact, and form social connections. Parks and community gardens, for instance, provide settings for communal activities, events, and shared experiences. These spaces can serve as catalysts for community building, fostering a sense of belonging, pride, and unity among residents [41].

The combined effects of stress reduction, increased physical activity, improved air quality, and enhanced community cohesion contribute to overall health improvements and a

better quality of life for residents in low-income communities. These benefits are particularly crucial in addressing health disparities and promoting health equity. Green infrastructure interventions that prioritize the inclusion of low-income communities can help bridge the gap in access to health-promoting environments, providing equal opportunities for well-being and contributing to a more equitable society.

Green infrastructure offers significant potential to improve the physical and mental well-being of residents in low-income communities [42]. By increasing access to green spaces and nature, these interventions promote stress reduction, physical activity, improved air quality, and community cohesion. The resulting health benefits and enhanced quality of life contribute to more resilient and thriving communities. It is essential to prioritize the incorporation of green infrastructure in low-income communities to ensure equitable access to these transformative health-promoting environments.

### Environmental justice

The implementation of green infrastructure in low-income communities plays a crucial role in addressing environmental justice concerns and promoting equitable access to green spaces and environmental benefits. It involves acknowledging and rectifying historical and systemic inequities that have led to disproportionate exposure to environmental risks in these communities.

Environmental justice emphasizes the fair treatment of all individuals, regardless of their socio-economic status, with respect to environmental policies, regulations, and access to natural resources. Low-income communities have often been subjected to a disproportionate burden of environmental hazards, such as air pollution, contaminated water, and lack of green spaces. These communities face higher rates of health issues and limited access to the benefits provided by nature and green infrastructure.

Implementing green infrastructure in low-income communities helps address these environmental justice concerns by providing equal access to green spaces and environmental benefits. Green infrastructure interventions, such as parks, urban forests, and community gardens, can mitigate the negative environmental impacts and provide residents with opportunities to connect with nature. By intentionally locating and designing green spaces in low-income areas, the disparities in access to green infrastructure can be reduced, ensuring that all residents can benefit from the physical, mental, and social advantages these spaces offer [43]

Moreover, green infrastructure can help rectify the historical and systemic inequities that have perpetuated environmental injustices in low-income communities. By intentionally targeting these communities for green infrastructure initiatives, policymakers and urban planners can address the legacy of neglect and disinvestment that has left these areas underserved

and vulnerable to environmental hazards. Green infrastructure projects can contribute to community revitalization, economic development, and increased social and environmental resilience, providing a pathway to address the inequalities of the past [44].

Green infrastructure also has the potential to provide multiple co-benefits that directly address environmental justice concerns. For example, the planting of trees and creation of green spaces can mitigate heat island effects, improve air quality, and reduce energy consumption. These benefits can directly alleviate the disproportionate environmental risks faced by low-income communities, as they often bear the brunt of urban heat and air pollution. By integrating green infrastructure with other community development initiatives, such as affordable housing and transportation, the benefits can be amplified, leading to more equitable and sustainable outcomes.

To ensure the effectiveness of green infrastructure in addressing environmental justice concerns, community engagement and participation are essential. Meaningful involvement of residents throughout the planning, design, and implementation stages empowers communities to shape the green infrastructure projects according to their needs and aspirations [45]. It fosters a sense of ownership, builds trust, and ensures that the interventions are responsive to the specific context and challenges faced by low-income communities.

Implementing green infrastructure in low-income communities is a crucial step toward addressing environmental justice concerns and promoting equitable access to green spaces and environmental benefits [46].

By recognizing and rectifying historical and systemic inequities, green infrastructure initiatives can provide residents with equal opportunities to enjoy the physical, mental, and social advantages of nature. Through intentional targeting, community engagement, and consideration of multiple co-benefits, green infrastructure can contribute to a more just and sustainable future, where all communities have equal access to a healthy and thriving environment.

### Community engagement

Engaging the residents of low-income communities in the planning, design, and implementation of green infrastructure projects is a critical component for their success and long-term sustainability. Community input and participation are invaluable in ensuring that these projects meet the specific needs and preferences of the community, fostering a sense of ownership and promoting a greater sense of investment in the outcomes [47], [48].

When low-income communities are actively involved in the decision-making processes, their unique perspectives and lived experiences can shape the design and implementation of green infrastructure projects [49]. Community members often possess valuable local knowledge about the neighborhood, including its history,

cultural significance, and specific challenges. By actively engaging residents, planners and designers can gain insights that help tailor green infrastructure solutions to address the specific needs and priorities of the community.

Incorporating community input during the planning phase allows for the identification of areas with the greatest need for green infrastructure interventions. It ensures that the projects align with the community's goals, aspirations, and cultural values. Community engagement also helps in identifying potential barriers or concerns that need to be addressed, such as accessibility issues, safety considerations, or concerns about displacement. By proactively involving residents, these challenges can be better understood and addressed in the design and implementation processes [50].

During the design phase, involving the community fosters a sense of ownership and encourages a sense of pride in the green infrastructure projects. It allows community members to contribute their ideas, preferences, and local knowledge, resulting in designs that reflect the community's identity and aspirations. This participatory approach helps create a stronger sense of connection and investment in the projects, leading to increased community stewardship and maintenance efforts over time [51].

In the implementation phase, community participation can take various forms, including volunteer opportunities, workforce development

programs, and ongoing collaboration between community members and project implementers. Involving residents in the physical implementation of green infrastructure projects not only helps build their skills and capacity but also strengthens the social fabric of the community. This collaborative approach fosters a sense of empowerment and agency, as community members actively contribute to the transformation of their own neighborhoods.

Ongoing community engagement and education are crucial for the long-term success and sustainability of green infrastructure projects in low-income communities. Providing residents with information about the benefits and maintenance of the projects helps ensure that they are properly utilized and cared for. Community education

programs, workshops, and outreach initiatives can also promote a deeper understanding of the ecological, social, and economic value of green infrastructure, fostering a culture of environmental stewardship within the community. Engaging the residents of low-income communities throughout the planning, design, and implementation phases of green infrastructure projects is essential. Community input and participation contribute to projects that are tailored to meet the specific needs and preferences of the community. By fostering a sense of ownership and empowerment, community engagement increases the likelihood of long-term success, sustainability, and community stewardship. It is through collaborative efforts that green

infrastructure projects can truly reflect the aspirations of the community and bring about meaningful positive change [52], [53].

### **Conclusion**

Implementing green infrastructure in low-income communities is crucial for addressing environmental justice concerns and promoting social equity. These projects should prioritize fair and equal access to the benefits and opportunities provided by green infrastructure systems. It involves considerations of affordability, accessibility, and community engagement throughout the planning and implementation process [54].

Low-income communities often experience the urban heat island effect, where areas with extensive impervious surfaces and lack of vegetation become significantly hotter than surrounding areas. Green infrastructure interventions, such as parks, urban forests, or green roofs, can help mitigate this effect by providing shade and evaporative cooling [55]. These interventions contribute to improved physical and mental well-being, reduced stress levels, increased physical activity, improved air quality, and enhanced community cohesion [56].

Stormwater management is another challenge faced by low-income communities. Inadequate infrastructure leads to issues such as flooding and water pollution. Green infrastructure elements like rain gardens, permeable pavements, and bioswales can help absorb and filter stormwater runoff, reducing the burden on traditional drainage

systems. This enhances water quality, replenishes groundwater resources, and improves the overall health of local water bodies.

Implementing green infrastructure in low-income communities can also address environmental justice concerns by providing equal access to green spaces and environmental benefits [57]. It recognizes and rectifies historical and systemic inequities that have resulted in disproportionate exposure to environmental risks in these communities. Engaging residents throughout the planning, design, and implementation phases is crucial to ensure that projects meet their specific needs and preferences. Community involvement fosters a sense of ownership, increases the likelihood of long-term success, and promotes a greater sense of investment in the outcomes.

Engaging the residents of low-income communities in green infrastructure projects is crucial [58]. Community input and participation help tailor projects to address specific community needs, aspirations, and cultural values. It allows for the identification of areas with the greatest need for interventions and the addressing of potential barriers or concerns. Community engagement fosters a sense of ownership, pride, and connection, leading to increased community stewardship and maintenance efforts.

Ongoing community engagement and education are important for the long-term success and sustainability of green infrastructure projects. Providing information about project



benefits and maintenance ensures proper utilization and care. Community education programs promote a deeper understanding of the value of green infrastructure and foster a culture of environmental stewardship [59].

Implementing green infrastructure in low-income communities promotes social equity, addresses environmental justice concerns, and provides equal access to environmental benefits [60]. It mitigates the urban heat island effect, manages stormwater, improves physical and mental well-being, and enhances community cohesion. Engaging residents throughout the process fosters ownership, empowerment, and community stewardship. Ongoing community engagement and education contribute to long-term success and sustainability. By prioritizing social equity, green infrastructure projects can bring about positive change and create healthier and more resilient communities.

### References

- [1] E. C. Chambers, M. S. Pichardo, and E. Rosenbaum, "Sleep and the Housing and Neighborhood Environment of Urban Latino Adults Living in Low-Income Housing: The AHOME Study," *Behav. Sleep Med.*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 169–184, 2016.
- [2] S. C. Wason, R. Julien, M. J. Perry, T. J. Smith, and J. I. Levy, "Modeling exposures to organophosphates and pyrethroids for children living in an urban low-income environment," *Environ. Res.*, vol. 124, pp. 13–22, Jul. 2013.
- [3] G. B. Guy, *Construction Ecology: Nature as a Basis for Green Buildings*. London, England: Routledge, 2003.
- [4] M. Alam, "Reconstructing anti-capitalism as heterodoxa in Indonesia's youth-led urban environmentalism Twitter account," *Geoforum*, 2020.
- [5] A. Hillier, C. C. Cannuscio, A. Karpyn, J. McLaughlin, M. Chilton, and K. Glanz, "How Far Do Low-Income Parents Travel to Shop for Food? Empirical Evidence from Two Urban Neighborhoods," *Urban Geogr.*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 712–729, Jul. 2011.
- [6] M. Alam, "Young People as Transformative Citizens Fighting Climate Change," *Political Identity and Democratic Citizenship in*, 2020.
- [7] J. Yudelson, *The Green Building Revolution*. Island Press, 2007.
- [8] M. Alam, "Young People as Transformative Citizens Fighting Climate Change," in *Political Identity and Democratic Citizenship in Turbulent Times*, IGI Global, 2020, pp. 230–254.
- [9] J. W. Krieger, L. Song, T. K. Takaro, and J. Stout, "Asthma and the home environment of low-income urban children: preliminary findings from the Seattle-King County healthy homes project," *J. Urban Health*, vol. 77, no. 1, pp. 50–67, Mar. 2000.
- [10] A. Leaman and B. Bordass, "Are users more tolerant of 'green' buildings?," *Build. Res. Inf.*, vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 662–673, Nov. 2007.
- [11] A. B. Pérez-Lizaur, M. Kaufer-Horwitz, and M. Plazas, "Environmental and personal

- correlates of fruit and vegetable consumption in low income, urban Mexican children,” *J. Hum. Nutr. Diet.*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 63–71, Dec. 2007.
- [12] A. Rodriguez, E. Brickley, L. Rodrigues, R. A. Normansell, M. Barreto, and P. J. Cooper, “Urbanisation and asthma in low-income and middle-income countries: a systematic review of the urban–rural differences in asthma prevalence,” *Thorax*, vol. 74, no. 11, pp. 1020–1030, Nov. 2019.
- [13] M. Alam, P. Nilan, and T. Leahy, “Learning from Greenpeace: Activist habitus in a local struggle,” *Electron. Green J.*, 2019.
- [14] A. Chegut, P. Eichholtz, and N. Kok, “Supply, demand and the value of green buildings,” *Urban Stud.*, 2014.
- [15] M. Alam and P. Nilan, “The campaign to save the Bandung city forest in Indonesia: A cognitive praxis analysis of protest repertoires,” *Indonesia and the Malay world*, 2018.
- [16] F. L. Margai, “Analyzing Changes in Waste Reduction Behavior in a Low-Income Urban Community Following a Public Outreach Program,” *Environ. Behav.*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 769–792, Nov. 1997.
- [17] L. Alam, A. Lahmi, M. Alam, and A. Aminah, “The rise of the urban piety movement: Jamaah Maiyah as an urban spiritualism and emerging religiosity in the public sphere,” *J. Ilm. Peuradeun*, vol. 10, no. 3, p. 745, Sep. 2022.
- [18] N. Amin *et al.*, “Pathogen flows from on-site sanitation systems in low-income urban neighborhoods, Dhaka: A quantitative environmental assessment,” *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health*, vol. 230, p. 113619, Sep. 2020.
- [19] M. Alam, “Reading the Novel Sarongge Through the Eyes of Female Environmental Activists in Indonesia,” in *Environment, Media, and Popular Culture in Southeast Asia*, J. P. Telles, J. C. Ryan, and J. L. Dreisbach, Eds. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, 2022, pp. 47–60.
- [20] Y. Mui, E. Ballard, E. Lopatin, R. L. J. Thornton, K. M. Pollack Porter, and J. Gittelsohn, “A community-based system dynamics approach suggests solutions for improving healthy food access in a low-income urban environment,” *PLoS One*, vol. 14, no. 5, p. e0216985, May 2019.
- [21] M. Alam and I. A. N. Azalie, “Greening the Desert: Sustainability Challenges and Environmental Initiatives in the GCC States,” in *Social Change in the Gulf Region: Multidisciplinary Perspectives*, Springer Nature Singapore Singapore, 2023, pp. 493–510.
- [22] M. Alam, “Environmental Education and Non-governmental Organizations,” in *The Palgrave Encyclopedia of Urban and Regional Futures*, R. C. Brears, Ed. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2023, pp. 495–502.
- [23] J. Parkinson, “Drainage and stormwater management strategies for low-income urban communities,” *Environ. Urban.*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 115–126, Oct. 2003.
- [24] N. Miller, D. Pogue, and Q. Gough, “Green buildings and

- productivity,” *Journal of Sustainable*, 2009.
- [25] M. Alam, “Activists’ heterodox beliefs in fostering urban environmental education in Indonesia,” *Local Development & Society*, pp. 1–18, Apr. 2022.
- [26] P. K. Wamuyu, “Leveraging Web 2.0 technologies to foster collective civic environmental initiatives among low-income urban communities,” *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 85, pp. 1–14, Aug. 2018.
- [27] A. Singh, M. Syal, S. C. Grady, and S. Korkmaz, “Effects of green buildings on employee health and productivity,” *Am. J. Public Health*, vol. 100, no. 9, pp. 1665–1668, Sep. 2010.
- [28] M. Alam, “Mental health impact of online learning: A look into university students in Brunei Darussalam,” *Asian J. Psychiatr.*, vol. 67, p. 102933, Jan. 2022.
- [29] J. Gittelsohn *et al.*, “Understanding the Food Environment in a Low-Income Urban Setting: Implications for Food Store Interventions,” *J. Hunger Environ. Nutr.*, vol. 2, no. 2–3, pp. 33–50, Apr. 2008.
- [30] M. Alam, “Indonesian educated middle-class fathers’ preferences in pregnancy services at a private hospital,” *Int. Rev. Sociol. Sport*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 539–560, Sep. 2020.
- [31] J. Parkinson and K. Tayler, “Decentralized wastewater management in peri-urban areas in low-income countries,” *Environ. Urban.*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 75–90, Apr. 2003.
- [32] C. Zurbrugg, “Urban solid waste management in low-income countries of Asia how to cope with the garbage crisis,” *Presented for: Scientific Committee on Problems of the Environment (SCOPE) Urban Solid Waste Management Review Session, Durban, South Africa*, vol. 6, 2002.
- [33] L. N. Dwaikat and K. N. Ali, “Green buildings cost premium: A review of empirical evidence,” *Energy Build.*, vol. 110, pp. 396–403, Jan. 2016.
- [34] S. Westbury *et al.*, “The influence of the urban food environment on diet, nutrition and health outcomes in low-income and middle-income countries: a systematic review,” *BMJ Glob Health*, vol. 6, no. 10, Oct. 2021.
- [35] M. M. Black, H. Dubowitz, and R. H. Starr Jr, “African American fathers in low income, urban families: Development, behavior, and home environment of their three-year-old children,” *Child Dev.*, vol. 70, no. 4, pp. 967–978, 1999.
- [36] Z. Gou, D. Prasad, and S. Siu-Yu Lau, “Are green buildings more satisfactory and comfortable?,” *Habitat Int.*, vol. 39, pp. 156–161, Jul. 2013.
- [37] M. Alam, S. Mahalle, and D. H. Suwanto, “Mental distress among Indonesian academic mothers during enforced remote working,” *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, pp. 1–13, May 2023.
- [38] F. Nunan and D. Satterthwaite, “The Influence of Governance on the Provision of Urban Environmental Infrastructure and Services for Low-income Groups,” *Int. Plann. Stud.*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 409–426, Nov. 2001.
- [39] G. Adamkiewicz *et al.*, “Environmental conditions in low-income urban housing:

- clustering and associations with self-reported health,” *Am. J. Public Health*, vol. 104, no. 9, pp. 1650–1656, Sep. 2014.
- [40] M. Alam, *Freshmen orientaton program: Circle of violence, moral crisis, and pseudo-altruism*. Nas Media Pustaka, 2023.
- [41] R. Ries, M. M. Bilec, N. M. Gokhan, and K. L. Needy, “The Economic Benefits of Green Buildings: A Comprehensive Case Study,” *Eng. Econ.*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 259–295, Sep. 2006.
- [42] A. K. Venkitaraman and V. S. R. Kosuru, “A review on autonomous electric vehicle communication networks-progress, methods and challenges,” *World J. Adv. Res. Rev.*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 013–024, Dec. 2022.
- [43] V. S. Rahul, “Kosuru; Venkitaraman, AK Integrated framework to identify fault in human-machine interaction systems,” *Int. Res. J. Mod. Eng. Technol. Sci*, 2022.
- [44] A. Sinha, R. Gupta, and A. Kutnar, “Sustainable Development and Green Buildings,” *Drv. Ind.*, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 45–53, 2013.
- [45] V. S. R. Kosuru and A. K. Venkitaraman, “Evaluation of Safety Cases in The Domain of Automotive Engineering,” *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, vol. 7, no. 9, pp. 493–497, 2022.
- [46] J. C. Howe, “Overview of green buildings,” *Envtl. L. Rep. News & Analysis*, vol. 41, p. 10043, 2011.
- [47] C. M. Shackleton *et al.*, “How important is green infrastructure in small and medium-sized towns? Lessons from South Africa,” *Landsc. Urban Plan.*, vol. 180, pp. 273–281, Dec. 2018.
- [48] M. J. du Toit, S. S. Cilliers, M. Dallimer, M. Goddard, S. Guenat, and S. F. Cornelius, “Urban green infrastructure and ecosystem services in sub-Saharan Africa,” *Landsc. Urban Plan.*, vol. 180, pp. 249–261, Dec. 2018.
- [49] A. K. Venkitaraman and V. S. R. Kosuru, “Hybrid deep learning mechanism for charging control and management of Electric Vehicles,” *European Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 38–46, Jan. 2023.
- [50] C. J. Kibert, “GREEN BUILDINGS: AN OVERVIEW OF PROGRESS,” *J. Land Use Environ. Law*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 491–502, 2004.
- [51] V. S. R. Kosuru and A. K. Venkitaraman, “Automatic Identification of Vehicles in Traffic using Smart Cameras,” *and Informatics (IC3I ...)*, 2022.
- [52] F. A. Tauhid and H. Zawani, “Mitigating climate change related floods in urban poor areas: Green infrastructure approach,” *J. Reg. City Plan.*, vol. 29, no. 2, p. 98, Jul. 2018.
- [53] L. L. Bachrach, “The urban environment and mental health,” *Int. J. Soc. Psychiatry*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 5–15, Spring 1992.
- [54] D. A. Zachary, A. M. Palmer, S. W. Beckham, and P. J. Surkan, “A framework for understanding grocery purchasing in a low-income urban environment,” *Qual. Health Res.*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 665–678, May 2013.
- [55] M. M. Black and A. Krishnakumar, “Children in low-income, urban settings.

- Interventions to promote mental health and well-being,” *Am. Psychol.*, vol. 53, no. 6, pp. 635–646, Jun. 1998.
- [56] J. G. Allen, P. MacNaughton, J. G. C. Laurent, S. S. Flanigan, E. S. Eitland, and J. D. Spengler, “Green Buildings and Health,” *Curr Environ Health Rep*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 250–258, Sep. 2015.
- [57] V. S. R. Kosuru and A. Kavasseri Venkitaraman, “Trends and Challenges in Electric Vehicle Motor Drivelines-A Review,” *International journal of*, 2023.
- [58] V. S. R. Kosuru and A. K. Venkitaraman, “Advancements and challenges in achieving fully autonomous self-driving vehicles,” *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 161–167, 2023.
- [59] I. Anguelovski, C. Irazábal-Zurita, and J. J. T. Connolly, “Grabbed urban landscapes: Socio-spatial tensions in green infrastructure planning in Medellín,” *Int. J. Urban Reg. Res.*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 133–156, Jan. 2019.
- [60] I. Douglas, “The challenge of urban poverty for the use of green infrastructure on floodplains and wetlands to reduce flood impacts in intertropical Africa,” *Landsc. Urban Plan.*, vol. 180, pp. 262–272, Dec. 2018.