Integrated Pest Management Practices for Sustainable Agriculture

Phan Minh Duc

Department of Agriculture, Lang Son University, 98 Tran Dang Ninh Street, Lang Son City, Lang Son Province, Vietnam

Abstract

Researchers and agricultural extension specialists have developed and identified various sustainable principles and methodologies for managing crop nematodes, encompassing diverse cropping systems and integrated pest management practices. More recently, attention has been directed towards comprehending the significance of host resistance, embracing enhanced cultural practices such as crop rotation, and reducing reliance on chemical nematicides. Additionally, efforts have been made to employ animal wastes, recycle industrial effluents and waste materials, utilize nematode-resistant varieties, and leverage specific rhizobacteria to induce host resistance. Consequently, there is a pressing demand for robust and effective integrated pest management strategies tailored to both small and large agricultural settings, with long-lasting efficacy against nematodes. These pest management strategies must remain dynamic and adaptable, continuously evolving to address regional, environmental, cultural and soil-specific factors conducive to nematode proliferation.

Introduction

Nematodes, comprising a subset of complex microorganisms, pose a significant threat to crop health globally, causing diseases in numerous crops [1-5]. Various approaches have been undertaken to sustainably manage nematode populations, including implementing multiple cropping systems, employing continuous cropping techniques, incorporating non-crop periods, and practicing crop rotation [2-7]. A cadre of nematode experts and professionals conducts research on plant parasitic nematode management, focusing on sustainable and subsistence agriculture as well as integrated pest management practices. Their primary objective is to devise strategies and tactics for sustainable nematode management within conventional agricultural systems [7-14]. Sustainable agricultural practices aim to minimize environmental impact while preserving soil fertility, ensuring it remains conducive to sustained agricultural productivity. To address the growing demands of an expanding global population, agriculture has transitioned from subsistence farming to intensive practices, leveraging modern technology and judicious utilization of natural resources [5-12]. The interplay between plant parasitic nematodes, their host crops, and the environment varies depending on the specific nematode-host combination and related geographical characteristics. Among various environmental factors, temperature plays a pivotal role in influencing the spread of root-knot nematode populations worldwide. Elevated temperatures, exceeding 28 degrees Celsius, have been observed to exacerbate nematode infestation in crops such as tomatoes and other vegetables [3-9]. The presence of plant parasitic nematodes inhibits plant growth and diminishes crop yields significantly [1-5]. Estimates suggest that these nematodes annually incur crop losses ranging from 5% to 12%, underscoring their detrimental impact on agricultural productivity.

Integrated Pest Management Strategies for Sustainable Agriculture

Nematologists have devised integrated pest management systems (IPM) to effectively manage plant parasitic nematodes, which have proven highly successful in their sustainable control [12-20]. These IPM strategies encompass a range of complementary approaches, including host resistance, tolerance, and selective nematicide application, thereby mitigating the issue of declining efficacy associated with specific nematicides. Employing multiple management practices is key to achieving successful nematode control. Four primary strategies for sustainable nematode management include utilizing nematode-free planting materials, implementing non-chemical practices like crop rotation and soil cultivation, incorporating various organic soil amendments, and adopting multiple cropping systems to enhance nematode tolerance.

To minimize reliance on chemical nematicides, greater emphasis is placed on integrating available resources and cropping systems [20-29]. IPM practices primarily focus on understanding nematode biology, host-nematode interactions, and resultant host damage. Combining multiple control measures in various combinations yields superior outcomes. Nematodes play a vital role in enhancing soil fertility by aiding in organic matter decomposition and facilitating mineral addition. Certain nematodes prey on bacteria, contributing to increased nitrogen levels in the soil, thereby participating in the intricate nutrient cycling process and influencing the growth and productivity of diverse crops [12-18]. Soil amendments such as oil cakes and animal wastes can augment nematode populations, impacting nitrogen and carbon mineralization processes in the soil and modulating nutrient availability for plants.

Nematodes are widely recognized as valuable bio-indicators of soil ecology, with their abundance often correlating positively with crop productivity yet negatively impacting plant growth due to excessive root-knot galling [25-29]. Numerous weed species associated directly or indirectly with certain plant parasitic nematodes necessitate effective weed eradication programs for sustainable nematode management. Additionally, the implementation of cover crops serves to restrict nematode populations in agricultural fields. Sustainable agro-ecological systems and integrated farming practices, encompassing sowing techniques, fertilization, organic manure utilization, soil tillage, and judicious chemical pesticide application, have significantly reduced various soil-borne nematodes.

Sustainable Farm Practices for Pest Management

Cropping sequences and systems play a pivotal role in nematode management, with fallows and crop rotations frequently employed in potato fields to combat plant parasitic nematodes [20-29]. Certain antagonistic plants, such as mustard, rapeseed, and crotalaria, function effectively as trap crops for sustainable nematode management. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria have demonstrated the ability to induce resistance against various pathogens, including nematodes, thereby suppressing nematode infection in potato roots. The adoption of nematode-resistant varieties represents an economical and preferred approach to sustainable nematode management.

Innovative practices, such as delayed planting, double cropping, and adjustments in planting and harvest dates, have shown promising results in nematode management. Soil tillage practices can drastically reduce Meloidogyne populations and impact beneficial organisms while suppressing undesirable plants like weeds. Moreover, tillage practices offer additional benefits such as increased soil organic matter and improved soil structure and water infiltration. Environmentally-friendly technologies have been developed for the practical and effective control of various plant-parasitic and soil-borne nematodes, resulting in reduced reliance on synthetic nematicides and mitigating environmental hazards while enhancing crop productivity [21-29]. Modern agricultural practices, including computerized productivity management and data analysis, enable better nematode management by providing insights into optimal watering patterns, chemical fertilizer usage, and nematicide application. These advancements have contributed to improved plant growth and increased crop yields across various agricultural sectors.

Bio-control of Nematodes and Ferti-irrigation

Moreover, there exists potential for innovative and effective tools in nematode control, particularly through genetic engineering [10-18]. Genetic engineering holds promise as a valuable tool in nematode management, aiming to enhance disease resistance in plants. Gene mapping and diagnostics have shown effectiveness in developing disease-resistant cultivars across several crops, albeit requiring further refinement. Utilizing modern techniques, such as plant transformation with transgenes targeting nematodes, has yielded root-knot resistant plants with approximately 70% less root-gall development compared to susceptible varieties. Similarly, plant breeding programs incorporating molecular techniques have successfully bred host resistance to nematodes [18-27]. Another avenue for sustainable nematode control involves ferti-irrigation utilizing liquid wastes from fertilizer factories. Recent studies have explored the optimal concentration of fertilizer factory effluents for ferti-irrigation, aiming to enhance plant growth, disease resistance, and overall productivity while concurrently aiding in the sustainable control of root-knot nematodes. The basic idea is appealing for its sustainability goals but needs further research to assess its feasibility on the farm.

Conclusion

Implementing sustainable management practices for plant nematodes involves employing various control measures such as intensive cropping systems, cover crops, and animal wastes, as well as tillage systems that promote the growth of beneficial organisms like fungi, protozoa, earthworms, and rhizobacteria, while concurrently reducing nematode and other harmful pathogen populations. Through a combination of traditional and genetically engineered practices, the aim is to diminish the population of nematodes, thereby reducing our dependency on synthetic pesticides and nematicides and significantly increasing crop yields. However, sustainable nematode management systems are not the only solution moving forward. It is imperative to develop convenient and effective control measures for different nematodes to minimize yield losses in food crop production to meet the growing demand driven of the world population. We now understand that sustainability goals do not embrace harmful chemicals. As such, the use of chemical treatments for nematode control is limited due to environmental concerns. Therefore, modern technologies derived from molecular techniques and soil biology, along with integrated cropping systems, have emerged as effective and environmentally-acceptable approaches for the sustainable control of nematode species, which ultimately leads to improved plant growth and productivity.

References:

[1]. Duncan LW and Noling JW. “Agricultural sustainability and nematode IPM. Plant and Nematode Interactions”. Soil Science Society of America (1998): 281-287.

[2]. Page SLJ and Bridge J. “Plant namatodes and sustainability in tropical agriculture”. Experimental Agriculture 29.2 (1993): 139-154.

[3]. R. Lycke, A. Parashar, Microfluidics-enabled method to identify modes of Caenorhabditis elegans paralysis in four anthelmintics. Biomicrofluidics. 2013, 7(6), 64103.

[4]. S. Pandey, Akwete Bortei-Doku, Marvin H. White, Simulation of biological ion channels with technology computer-aided design. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 85, 1 (2007) 1-7.

[5]. Nusbaum CJ and Ferris H. “The role of cropping systems in nematode population management”. Annual

Review of Phytopathology 11 (1973): 423-440.
[6]. Trivedi PC and Barker KR. “Management of nematodes by cultural practices”. Nematropica 16.2 (1986): 213-236.
[7]. C.M. Legner, G.L. Tylka, Robotic agricultural instrument for automated extraction of nematode cysts and eggs from soil to improve integrated pest management. Scientific Reports, 2021, 11(1), 3212.
[8]. Dixit Gopal. “Studies on Multiple Cropping Systems for Sustainable Management of Nematodes. In: Sustainable Agriculture (Ed. Bandopadhyay et.al.)”. Northern Book Centre, New Delhi. (2005): 116- 121.
[9]. Mullian BA., et al. “Modification of resistance expression of Phaseolus vulgaris to Meloidogyne incognita by elevated soil temperatures”. Journal of Nematology 23.2 (1991): 182-187.
[10]. Neilson R and Boag B. “The predicted impact of possible climatic change on virus-vector nematodes in Great Britain”. European Journal of Plant Pathology 102.2 (1996): 193-199.
[11]. X. Ding, Z. Njus, T. Kong, et al. Effective drug combination for Caenorhabditis elegans nematodes discovered by output-driven feedback system control technique. Science Advances. 2017, eaao1254.
[12]. S. Pandey, Marvin H White, Parameter-extraction of a two-compartment model for whole-cell data analysis, Journal of Neuroscience Methods, 120(2), 131-143, 2002.
[13]. Bridge J. “Nematode management in sustainable and subsistence agriculture”. Annual Review of Phytopathology 34 (1996): 201-225.
[14]. Beeman AQ, Njus ZL, Tylka GL. Chip Technologies for Screening Chemical and Biological Agents Against Plant-Parasitic Nematodes. Phytopathology. 2016 Dec;106(12):1563-1571.
[15]. Jensen JP, Beeman AQ, Njus ZL, Kalwa U, Tylka GL. Movement and Motion of Soybean Cyst Nematode Heterodera glycines Populations and Individuals in Response to Abamectin. Phytopathology. 2018, 108(7), 885-891.
[16]. Roberts PA. “The future of nematology: integration of new and improved management strategies”. Journal of Nematology 25.3 (1993): 384-394.
[17]. A.Q. Beeman, Z. L. Njus, G. Tylka, The Effects of ILeVO and VOTiVO on Root Penetration and Behavior of the Soybean Cyst Nematode, Heterodera glycines. Plant Diseases (2019), 103(3):392-397.
[18]. J.P. Jensen, U. Kalwa, G.L. Tylka, Avicta and Clariva Affect the Biology of the Soybean Cyst Nematode, Heterodera glycines. Plant Dis. 2018 Dec;102(12):2480-2486.
[19]. S. Pandey, Analytical modeling of the ion number fluctuations in biological ion channels, Journal of nanoscience and nanotechnology, 12(3) (2012), 2489-2495.

[20]. Hoffman n-Hergarten S., et al. “Yield responses and biological control of the root-knot nematode, Meloidogyne incognita treated with Bacillus cereus”. Annual Review of Phytopathology (1997): 364-367.

[21]. Dixit Gopal. “Effect of fertilizer factory wastes on seed germination, plant growth and root-knot disease development in tomato plants”. World Journal of Biology and Biotechnology, 2.2 (2017): 151-154.

[22]. J. Saldanha, J. Powell-Coffman. The effects of short-term hypergravity on Caenorhabditis elegans. Life Science Space Research. (2016) 10:38-46.

[23]. Njus Z, Kong T, Kalwa U, et al. Flexible and disposable paper- and plastic-based gel micropads for nematode handling, imaging, and chemical testing. APL Bioengineering. 2017 Dec;1(1):016102.

[24]. J.P. Jensen, A.Q. Beeman, Z.L. Njus et al. Movement and Motion of Soybean Cyst Nematode Heterodera glycines Populations and Individuals in Response to Abamectin. Phytopathology. 2018 Jul;108(7):885-891.

[25]. Dixit Gopal. “Reutilization of Fertilizer Factory Effluents in Crop Development”. Lambert Academic Publishing, Germany. (2019).

[26]. Parashar, S. Pandey, Plant-in-chip: Microfluidic system for studying root growth and pathogenic interactions in Arabidopsis, Applied Physics Letters, 98, 263703 (2011).

[27]. J. Saldanha, A. Parashar, J. Powell-Coffman, “Multi-parameter behavioral analyses provide insights to mechanisms of cyanide resistance in Caenorhabditis elegans”, Toxicological Sciences 135(1):156-68. (2013).

[28]. Beeman, Z. Njus, G. L. Tylka, “Chip Technologies for Screening Chemical and Biological Agents against Plant-Parasitic Nematodes”, Phytopathology, 106 (12), 1563-1571 (2016).

[29]. Kiraly Z. “Sustainable agriculture and the use of pesticides”. Journal of Environmental Science and Health 31.3 (1996): 283- 286.